

PRODUCTS LIABILITY¹--PRESCRIPTION DRUGS²--MANUFACTURER'S³ DEFENSE
OF UNAVOIDABLY UNSAFE ASPECT. N.C.G.S. § 99B-6(d).

NOTE WELL: Use this instruction only with causes of
action arising on or after January 1, 1996.

The (state number) issue reads:

"Was the plaintiff's [injury] [death] [damage] caused by
some aspect of the (name prescription drug) that was unavoidably
unsafe and as to which the defendant had given adequate warning
and instruction to the [physician] [name other legally authorized
prescriber or dispenser] who [prescribed] [dispensed] it for the
plaintiff?"

You will answer this issue only if you have answered the
(state number) issue in favor of the plaintiff.

On this issue the burden of proof is on the defendant. This
means that the defendant must prove, by the greater weight of the
evidence, two things:⁴

¹"Product liability action" includes any action "brought for or on
account of personal injury, death or property damage caused by or resulting
from the manufacture, construction, design, formulation, development of
standards, preparation, processing, assembly, testing, listing, certifying,
warning, instruction, marketing, selling, advertising, packaging or labeling
of any product." N.C.G.S. § 99B-1(3)(1994). Thus, this definition applies to
all product liability actions, whether they sound in contract or in tort.

²A "prescription drug" is a drug that can be bought only when prescribed
by a person authorized by law.

³N.C.G.S. §§ 99B-6(a) and 11.

⁴N.C.G.S. § 99B-6(d).

PRODUCTS LIABILITY--PRESCRIPTION DRUGS--MANUFACTURER'S DEFENSE OF
UNAVOIDABLY UNSAFE ASPECT. N.C.G.S. § 99B-6(d). (Continued.)

First, that the plaintiff's [injury] [death] [damage] was caused by some aspect of the (*name prescription drug*) that was unavoidably unsafe. "Unavoidably unsafe" means that, in the state of technical, scientific and medical knowledge generally prevailing at the time the prescription drug left the control of its manufacturer, an aspect of that prescription drug that caused the plaintiff's [injury] [death] [damage] was not reasonably capable of being made safe.

Second, that the defendant provided an adequate warning and instruction for the (*name prescription drug*) to the [physician] [*name other legally authorized prescriber or dispenser*] who [prescribed] [dispensed] it for the plaintiff. (Instructions or warnings are "adequate" if they are sufficient in content to inform foreseeable [prescribers] [dispensers] how to [prescribe] [dispense] the (*name prescription drug*) safely, and if they are communicated so that they are reasonably likely to reach such [prescribers] [dispensers] and be understood by them.

Finally, as to this issue on which the defendant has the burden of proof, if you find by the greater weight of the evidence that the plaintiff's [injury] [death] [damage] was caused by some aspect of the (*name prescription drug*) that was unavoidably unsafe and as to which the defendant had given adequate warning and instruction to the [physician] [*name other legally authorized prescriber or dispenser*] who [prescribed]

PRODUCTS LIABILITY--PRESCRIPTION DRUGS--MANUFACTURER'S DEFENSE OF
UNAVOIDABLY UNSAFE ASPECT. N.C.G.S. § 99B-6(d). (Continued.)

[dispensed] it for the plaintiff, then it would be your duty to
answer this issue "Yes" in favor of the defendant.

If, on the other hand, you fail to so find, then it would be
your duty to answer this issue "No" in favor of the plaintiff.

